

Team:

E. Brosha, R. Lujan, R. Mukundan, T. Rockward (Presenter), C. Romero, S. Williams, and M. Wilson

UNCLASSIFIED



Part I: Fuel Impurity Testing

Background Experimental Set-Up Results with CO and H₂S Fuel: Single-pass mode vs. Recirculation mode Pre-Dosing





UNCLASSIFIED

Background

- Current fuel specifications: ISO 14687-2 and SAE J2719 allow 200ppb CO and 4ppb H₂S plus others
- Previous FC studies were instrumental in their development; but higher loaded Pt electrodes (≥ 0.4 mg_{Pt}/cm²) were used, and tests were conducted in single-pass mode at constant current densities
- U.S. DOE 2020 target loading calls for 0.125 mg_{Pt}/cm² which results in an anode loading approaching 0.025 mg_{Pt}/cm²
- Focus: Low loaded electrodes tested in both single pass mode and fuel re-circulation mode at impurity levels in the fuel specification

Question: Do the standards need revision?





Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy's NNSA

Fuel Re-Circulation System: Single-Pass Mode



MEA: 25 cm2, A/C: 0.05/0.10 mg Pt/cm² NR211

Fuel stream exhaust gets released to atmosphere.

UNCLASSIFIED





CO Impact: Constant Dosage(7.5ppm*hr)/RH





Fuel Re-Circulation System for Fuel Quality Testing







EST 1943

H₂ Recirculation vs Single-Pass with 200 ppb CO/H₂



- Voltage loss after 100 hrs with single pass is ~ 38 mV.
- Fuel Recirculation system loss is ~ 50 mV.
- ~30% extra voltage loss due to recirculation at anode

UNCLASSIFIED



Pre-Dosing Experiments: 200ppb CO in Hydrogen

In re-circ mode, we introduce 4ppb H₂S for 5 minutes.

Afterwards, 200ppb CO is introduced for 7h.

No voltage loss observed during the 5 min exposure to S

Overall voltage losses with CO were approx. 10 mv during the exposure time



1.2 ppm H_2S for 1 second should have the same effect (dosage controlled)





Pre-Dosing Experiments:

Similar scenario except with 10 ppb H₂S pre-dose.

Losses are enhanced as concentration of pre-dose gas increases. 2X the losses

A system upset containing sulfur can be detrimental to cell performance. At the SAE/ISO levels the CO impacts become more severe.



3 ppm H₂S for 1 second should have the same effect (dosage controlled)





Part II: Fuel Quality Analyzer





UNCLASSIFIED

Scope

The development of a device to measure impurities in the fuel stream would be useful to the fuel cell community, hydrogen fueling stations and suppliers. Some of the more important qualities of the device:

>Inexpensive

Sensitive to the same impurities that would poison a fuel cell stack (e.g. CO, H_2S , and NH_3)

>quick response time to fuel contaminants

Such a device could be used as an early alert monitor and prevent damage to fuel cell stacks!!!





Approach

This device operates as an electrochemical pump using a MEA-type Configuration. (no air or water available).

- Use similar components to a fuel cell stack (e.g. lonomer, PGM, and GDLs)
- Identify best materials and their configuration





Identifying Desirable Materials

Reference Electrode: Tolerant and Stable

Working Electrode: Durable and Sensitive

High surface area materials

Engineered electrode provides stable Pt particle sizes and the low loadings desired in an analyzer.



Desired response times obtained for both CO and H₂S at the ISO level! t < 5 minutes!!!





Developing the Prototype

- Membrane Hydration Challenging: Identifying conditions needed for constant membrane humidification
 - Characterize and confirm by measuring HFR and CV
 - Vary flow conditions
 - Vary Membrane thickness
- Determine a fuel flow-rate that will not compromise sensitivity or response time



Prototype Developed

About the Prototype:

- Incorporate design elements from these experiments into analyzer prototype that uses standard PEMFC hardware and technology.
- Use LANL innovative humidification scheme. Test HFR stability and determine maximum dry gas flow rate.
- Test analyzer with ISO14687-2/
 SAE J2719 contaminant levels







Initial Prototype Results



Time (min)

Results showed promise, CO concentration 250 times the ISO/SAE!!!

UNCLASSIFIED





SAE Level Studies: Baseline

Current Respose to Varying CO Concentrations: Humidified Gas Stream



- Baseline conducted for comparison
- Gases were externally humidified
- > 0.3V hold , 200 ppb CO and 500 ppb CO exposure shows clear response (current decay)
- No natural recovery observed



Clear Response at ISO/SAE CO Level



Time (s)

- Dry gases along with the innovative humidification system
- Gas Diffusion Media changed to a less hydrophobic material
- Initial baseline before CO exposure more stable than 'Baseline'
- Response to 200 and 500 ppb CO similar to 'Baseline'; larger current decay rate
- No natural recovery observed



Investigating Clean-Up Strategy

Imp Spectras for Varying CO Concentrations: 10 min at 0.1 V, Ionomer Impact



- Impedance Spectras used to investigate the analyzer
- Applied 0.75V pulse for 10 min as a 'Clean-Up' Method
- Analyzer reset after 200 ppb CO
- Complete recovery not observed at higher concentrations



Investigating Clean-Up Strategy: Optimization of Electrode Materials





Future Work

- Modify the Re-Circulation System to include Gas Chromatography
- Incorporate Drive cycle and Start-Stop capabilities to mimic vehicle behavior and investigate it as a recovery strategy
- Test with the entire fuel cell specification (minus particulates) and apply drive cycle and start-stop protocol





UNCLASSIFIED

Fuel Re-Circulation System: Future Work



Fuel Re-Circulation System: Future Work



Summary

- Parametric Results with CO and H₂S
 - Anode Pt loading plays a critical role in determining H2 impurity tolerance
 - The losses are not observed instantaneously
 - Can SU/SD be used as a mitigating strategy?
- Pre-dosing Experiments
 - During an event, the voltage losses due to 200ppb CO will increase with higher S pre-dosing.
 - The onset of voltage losses appears instantaneously after S exposure.
- Fuel Quality Analyzer
 - Prototype developed
 - Response to ISO/SAE levels (t<5min)</p>
 - Clean-up strategy implemented



Acknowledgements

- DOE- Laura Hill, Technology Development Manager.
- DOE- Will James, Technology Development Manager.
- Jari Ihonen, VTT
- ZSW and HYDRAITE Collaborators for allowing LANL to participate on behalf of the DOE!!!!



